Effects of exotic earthworms on maple forests in northeastern states

NAMSC –ISMI 2017 Annual Meeting & Maple Conference

Oct. 25, 2017 Lévis Convention Centre; Quebec, Canada

Josef Gorres, Bruce L. Parker, Margaret Skinner, Arash Ghalehgolabbehbahani, Jessica Rubin

University of Vermont Entomology Laboratory

Why is it important for sugar makers to know about earthworm presence in maple forests?

No earthworms

Camels Hump, VT

Forest invaded by *Amynthas agrestis*

Shelburne, VT

Maple forest regeneration is threatened

Main Objectives:

- **Which worms are present?**

- **Where are the worms located?**



- **What are their effects on maple regeneration?**

Worm niches in forest floor:

EPI-ENDOGEIC
- surface feeder
- mix top layers into middle
- travel & live between top & middle
- small size
- pigmented

Amynthas sp.,
Lumbricus rubellus

Eiseina fetida (red wriggler),
Dendrobaena



Octolasion,
Aporrectodea

Lumbricus terrestris (night crawlers)

How worms affect forest floor soil structure:



Examples of soil structure disturbance from this summer's sampling:

No damage, all organic horizons present IERAT class = 1

Litter Organic duff Layer:

- Oi: leaves intact from previous fall)

- Oe: fermented fragmented leaves greater than a year; fine roots present

- Oa: humic or decomposed organic matter

Mineral horizon:

- transition from O to upper mineral soil horizon
- less than 30% organic matter

Forest soil structure after worm invasion:

Maximum damage, no organic horizon left and lots of large earthworms, IERAT class = 5

Intact leaves from previous fall

Oe and Oa layers missing. A soil horizon mineral soil and earthworm castings. Some roots remain but fine roots absent.

Transition from mineral soil to parent material

Methodology:

- 5 cold hardiness zones
- 5 states
- 35 sites
- all maple sugaring forests

Zones	States	Sites	Color
4a	VT, NY	6	Green
4b	VT	7	Blue
5a	VT, NY, NH	9	Yellow
5b	NH, MA, CT	6	Red
6a	MA, CT	7	White



Sampling Techniques:

<u>1 square meter:</u>

-overstory: trees

-understory: herbaceous species

<u>@5m:</u>

-nonnative plants

- maple seedlings

- maple saplings

<u>½ square meter:</u>

-forest soil structure

- worms



For 3 years UVM Entomology Lab gathered data to answer:

- In which USDA plant cold hardiness zones are worms present?
- Which worm species occur most frequently?
- How is worm presence related to forest damage?
  (using IERAT rating)
- Is there a correlation between:
  forest damage level & plant diversity?
- Does forest damage level affect maple regeneration?
- How does forest damage level affect nonnative plant presence?

Worms & plant cold hardiness zone correlation:



Worm families, genus, & species found:

Lumbricidae:

Aporrectodea**:** (*Aporrectodea rosea, A. turgida, A. tuberculata, A. caliginosa*)

Dendrobaena**:** (*Dendrobaena octaedra*)

Octolasion**:** (*Octolasion cyaneum)*

Lumbricus: (*Lumbricus terrestris*) night crawlers;
(*L. rubellus*) red worms

Megascolecidae:

*****Pheretimoids**:** (Amynthas agrestis, A. tokioensis, A. hilgendorfi)

Most concerning worms species found:

Amynthas (crazy snake worm) *(A. agrestis, tokiensis, hilgendorfi*)*

Known now as *Metaphire hilgendorfi (Chang, 2016)*

Most concerning Worms Species found:

Lumbricus: *(Lumbricus terrestris*, L. rubellus, L. castaneaus, L. festivus)*

Notice the tunnels they make

*Commonly known as Night Crawlers: anecic, making burrows

Patterns in 3 years of Data



How many forests did we see that are damaged?



Forest damage in relation to to plant diversity



Regeneration via maple seedlings across forest damage levels



Effects of *Amynthas* on maple regeneration in 2017

What is the impact on Maple regeneration via seedling counts?



Relationship of ‘invasive’ plants & forest damage



Correlation between forest damage & ‘invasive’ plant presence:

Observed in the field:

higher forest damage → ‘invasive’ plants presence more likely

Species observed:

Common buckthorn *(Rhamnus cathartica)*

Garlic mustard *(Alliaria petiolata)*

Japanese barberry (*Berberis thunbergii*)

Japanese honeysuckle *(Lonicera japonica)*

Multiflora rose *(Rosa multiflora)*

Oriental bittersweet *(Celastrus orbiculatus*)

Winged Burning Bush *(Euonymus alatus)*

Potential Vectors of these worms:

- Horticultural exchanges
  - Mulch
  - Plant exchange
  - Soil fill
- Discarded fishing bait

<u>Recent Case studies:</u>

- UVM Master gardener called: commercial compost---→*Amynthas agrestis*.
- Home gardener of 20 years: worms appear, odd texture, spreading to woods around house

In Summary:

Findings:

- Plant cold hardiness zone seems irrelevant; earthworms are present in all zones
- Worm species most present & damaging were: *Amynthas* spp. & *Lumbricus* spp.
- Forest damage directly relates to earthworm presence
- Forest damage level does not indicate plant diversity
- Severe forest damage → low maple regeneration
- Forest damage does not always indicate 'invasive' plant presence

Recommendations if you do not yet have worms in your sugarbush:

Avoid vector introductions:

- Minimize horticultural material movement
- Inspect all nursery species root balls before planting
- Avoid exposure to aquatic areas where fishing bait may be discarded

Observe patterns in forests you tend:

- look for earthworm castings, diminished organic layers & decrease in understory plants

Inform and stay informed:

- share this information with your community & the public
- stay tuned for further recommendations

Recommendations if you do have worms in your sugarbush:

Support forest health:

- Promote deep taproots tree species, especially in sandy or low organic matter substrate, so they can hold trees in place as forest floor structure changes

Stay tuned for updates:

- Furthur research is being conducted on natural pathogens to explore biocontrols and Entomo-pathogenic fungi or other microorganisms

- Visit UVM Entomology lab for details

Resources

Worm Watch: a science-based education & national volunteer monitoring program used to identify ecological changes in the environment (field guides..)

Great Lakes Worm Watch: valuable resource of research, worm identification, forest ecology, resources..

Vermont Invasives: includes information about identification, biology, management, distribution, and citations for earthworms and many other species

UVM Entomology Lab: contact for UVM scientists working on this research

Peer reviewed scientific articles to read:

Bal, Tara L, Andrew J. Storer, Martin F. Jurgensen, “Evidence of damage from exotic invasive earthworm activity was highly correlated to sugar maple dieback in the Upper Great Lakes region.” *Biological Invasions, 2017:* p. 1-14.

Chang, Chih-Han Bruce A. Snyder & Katalin Szlavecz. “Asian pheretimoid earthworms in North America north of Mexico: An illustrated key to the genera Amynthas, Metaphire, Pithemera, and Polypheretima (Clitellata: Megascolecidae)” *Zootaxa 2016:* p. 495-529.

Gorres, Josef H. & Ryan D.S. Melnichuk. “Asian Invasive Earthworms of the Genus Amynthas Kinberg in Vermont.” *Northeastern Naturalist 19:2, 2012: p 313-322.*

Hale, Cindy M, Lee E. Frelich, Peter B. Reich. “Changes in Hardwoodforest Understory Plant Communities in Response to European Earthworm Invasions.” *Ecology Society of America 2006 Vol #87 Issue 7, 2006: p. 1637-1649.*

Resner, Kit, Kyungsoo Yoo, Stephen D. Sebestyen, Anthony Aufdenkampe, Cindy Hale, Amy Lyttle, Alex Blum. “Invasive Earthworms Deplete Key Soil Inorganic Nutrients (Ca, Mg, K, and P) in a Northern Hardwood Forest Kit.” *Ecosystems Vol #18* Issue l, 2014: p. 89-102.

Acknowledgements

The scientists thank:

the North American Maple Syrup Council, Chittenden County Sugarmakers Assoc., and Univ. of Vermont College of Agriculture & Life Sciences for financial support to conduct this worm work.

They also appreciate the technical support received from:

Cheryl Frank Sullivan, Donald Tobi and Laura Sisco.

Thanks also to:

the sugarmakers who permitted us to sample their sugarbushes.

Questions, Comments…



Extra slides

These following slides cover more details:

- More details on IERAT Protocol
- Breakdown across damage levels: percentages of ‘invasive’ plant presence
- Correlation of forest damage level across plant cold hardiness zones
- 2016 data on correlation of *Amynthus* presence and maple seedling regeneration
- Effects of *Lumbricus* on maple regeneration via seedling #’s 2015 & 2017
- Anomaly year data on *Lumbricus* effects on maple regeneration via seedling #’s
- Relationship of maple regeneration via saplings across forest damage levels
- Correlation between plant diversity and forest damage level across cold hardiness zones
- Maple regeneration via maple seedlings broken down into state

Examples of soil structure disturbance from this summer's sampling

- Damage of forest floor assessed with:
  - the Invasive Earthworm Rapid Assessment Tool (IERAT).
    - No damage, all organic horizons present IERAT class = 1
    - Maximum damage, no organic horizon left and lots of large earthworms, IERAT class =5

Amynthas and L. terrestris (night crawlers)

Breakdown across damage levels: percentages of ‘invasive’ plant presence



2015-2017 patterns of forest damage across plant cold hardiness zones



2016: Relationship of Amynthas maple regeneration via seedlings



Effects of *Lumbricus* on maple seedling #'s in 2015 & 2017





Anomaly year data on *Lumbricus* effects on maple regeneration via seedling #'s



Forest damage and maple regeneration via saplings:



Relationship of plant diversity and forest damage level according to plant cold hardiness zones



Maple regeneration via maple seedlings across states